1 2 3 4 5 6 7	SAO BRYAN A. BOYACK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9980 BOYACK LAW GROUP 8906 Spanish Ridge Avenue, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Phone: (702) 744-7474 Fax: (702) 623-4746 Email: Bryan@BoyackLawGroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10			
11	ROBERT G. PLEASANT, ALVARO CARRILLO, AND ROBERTO SOLIS,	2:16-CV-01977-JAD-PAL	
12 13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFFS' STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES	
14	v.	(Third Request)	
15 16	STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY, a Corporation; DOES I through X and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,	*SUBMITEED IN COMPLIANCE WITH LR 26-1(e)*	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	Pursuant to Local Rules 6-1 and 26-4, the parties, by and through their respective counse.		
20	of record, hereby stipulate to and request that the Court extend the deadlines by ninety days.		
21	A. STATEMENT SPECIFYING SPECIF	IC THE DISCOVERY COMPLETED:	
22	The following discovery has been completed by the parties:		
23	1. Defendant's Initial Disclosure, pursuant to FRCP 26(f);		
24	2. Plaintiff's Initial Disclosure, pursuant to FRCP 26(f);		
25	3. Deposition of Plaintiff Robert Pleasant;		
26	4. Deposition of Plaintiff Alvaro Carrillo;		
27	5. Defendant's Initial Expert Disclosures;		
28			

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

B. A SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF THE DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE **COMPLETED:**

- 1. Deposition of Plaintiff Roberto Solis;
- 2. Deposition of both Plaintiff and Defendant's Experts;
- 3. Rebuttal Expert Disclosures.

C. THE REASONS WHY THE DISCOVERY REMAINING WAS NOT COMPLETED WITH THE TIME LIMITS SET BY THE DISCOVERY **ORDER:**

Due to the nature this action, prior Plaintiff Counsel became a key witness. Plaintiff recently filed a Motion to Substitute Counsel, naming Bryan Boyack, Esq. of the BOYACK LAW GROUP as current counsel.

The deposition of Plaintiff Roberto Solis has yet to be conducted. Plaintiff has yet to take party depositions as the party representatives are adjusters with whom Plaintiffs' Counsel had personal correspondence prior to litigation, which correspondence is the subject of this action. Defendants have also disclosed several initial experts, and Plaintiffs require additional time to obtain rebuttal experts and respond to Defendants' experts' opinions.

The parties believe that a modest addition of ninety days will accommodate both parties sufficiently to conclude the discovery that remains in this matter.

D. A PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING ALL REMAINING **DISCOVERY:**

	Old Deadline	New Deadline
Discovery Cut Off:	01/16/2018	04/16/2018
Expert Disclosure:	11/16/2017	02/14/2018
Rebuttal of Experts:	12/17/2017	03/19/2018
Dispositive Motions:	02/15/2018	05/16/2018

A. SAID REQUEST IS NOT BEING MADE FOR PURPOSES OF UNDUY DELAYING DISCOVER OR THE TRIAL OF THIS MATTER.

Dated: December <u>14</u>, 2017 **BOYACK LAW GROUP**

Dated: December <u>14</u>, 2017 RANALLI ZANIEL FOWLER & MORAN

/s/ Bryan A. Boyack

BRYAN A. BOYACK, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9980
8906 Spanish Ridge Avenue, Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89148
Attorney for Plaintiffs

/s/ Benjamin Carman

GEORGE M. RANALLI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5748 2400 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway Henderson, NV 89052 Attorney for Defendants

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: December 15, 2017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE